

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/937,070	01/29/2002	Thomas C. Evans	NEB-177-PUS	4532	
28986	7590 02/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARRIET M. STRIMPEL; NEW ENGLAND BIOLABS, INC.			SCHNIZER, HOLLY G		
240 COUNTY ROAD IPSWICH, MA 01938-2723			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1656	<u></u>	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ş								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Advisory Action	09/937,070	EVANS ET AL.					
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Holly Schnizer	1656					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
ГНЕ	REPLY FILED 02 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a)	The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.						
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
een CFR above earne	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). TICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. 🗵	. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 February 2006</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS							
3. 🗀	 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a							
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,					
1 . [The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. 🗀	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	·):						
3. <u> </u>	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. 🗵	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-11,13,15 and 17-19</u> . Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>12,14 and 16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
٩FF	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. [∑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12 [Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

NASHAAT T. NASHED PHD. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 was filed after the final action but failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reason why the affidavit is necessary and was not earlier presented (see 37 CFR 1.116(e)), 2) is not signed by all of the inventors (see MPEP 715.04), and 3) is not accompanied by any evidence of priority of invention. The essential thing to be shown under 37 CFR 1.131 is priority of invention and this may be done by any satisfactory evidence of the fact. FACTS, not conclusions, must be alleged. (see MPEP 715.07) The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 states that the evidence is found in the dated pages of the inventors laboratory notebook, however the evidence was not received with the Declaration. Thus, the claims are rejected for the same reasons provided in the previous Office Actions.